Restless legs syndrome is associated with mast cell activation syndrome
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Study Objectives: Mast cell activation syndrome (MCAS) is an inflammatory and allergic disorder. We determined the prevalence of restless legs syndrome (RLS) in MCAS because each common syndrome may be inflammatory in nature and associated with dysautonomia.

Methods: Individuals with MCAS were evaluated for RLS by two standard questionnaires. Prevalence comparisons included spouse control patients and two prevalence publications. MCAS diagnosis required mast cell (MC) symptoms in ≥2 organs plus ≥1 elevated MC mediators, improvement with MC therapy, and/or increased intestinal MC density. Clinical variables were studied.

Results: There were 174 patients with MCAS (146 female, 28 male, mean age 44.8 years) and 85 spouse control patients (12 female, 73 male, mean age 50.9 years). Patients with MCAS as a whole had a higher prevalence of RLS (40.8%) than spouse control (12.9%) (P < .0001) Male patients with MCAS had a higher prevalence of RLS (32.1%) than male controls (12.3%; odds ratio [OR] 3.4, confidence interval [CI] 1.2–9.7, P = .025), American men (8.4%, OR 5.2, CI 2.2–12.0, P < .001), and French men (5.8%, OR 7.7, CI 3.4–17.1, P < .001). Female patients with MCAS also had a higher prevalence of RLS (42.5%) than female controls (16.7%) but this did not reach statistical significance perhaps because of the sample size of the female controls. However, female patients with MCAS had a statistically higher prevalence of RLS than American women (10.0%, OR 6.7, CI 4.5–9.7, P < .0001) and French women (10.8%, OR 6.1, CI 4.4–8.6, P < .0001).

Conclusions: RLS appears to be associated with MCAS. Effects of mast cell mediators, inflammation, immune mechanisms, dysautonomia, or hypoxia may theoretically activate RLS in MCAS.
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BRIEF SUMMARY

Current Knowledge/Study Rationale: Restless legs syndrome (RLS) is characterized by leg discomfort that is worse at night and worse lying or sitting, leading to an urge to move the legs with at least temporary relief by activity. Mast cell activation syndrome (MCAS) is a common yet poorly recognized condition of unregulated, aberrant mast cell activation that leads to many inflammatory and allergic symptoms. Based on unpublished clinical observations by two large research centers that RLS is a common problem among their patients with MCAS, we thought that a formal, controlled prevalence study was desirable.

Study Impact: In the patients with MCAS who were studied there was a significantly higher prevalence of RLS than in the spouse control patients. The prevalence of RLS was also significantly greater than large historical groups. In addition, the prevalence of RLS in our MCAS males was statistically significantly higher than in our male spouse control patients and in males from the two historical control groups. The prevalence of RLS in our MCAS females was also higher than in the female spouse control patients, but the size of the female control group was too small to attain statistical significance. However, the prevalence of RLS in our female MCAS group was statistically higher than that for females in our two large historical samples. The relationship between these common syndromes leads to interesting theories how they may be linked by common pathophysiology.

INTRODUCTION

Restless legs syndrome (RLS) is a sensorimotor disorder that is either idiopathic or secondary and is associated with a number of conditions.1 RLS is recognized as the compelling urge to move the legs while awake, often with discomfort, and worsens at night.3 A wide prevalence of RLS is reported depending on population location, age, sex, and whether it is classified as idiopathic or secondary RLS. The pathophysiology of RLS is likely multifactorial; central and/or peripheral neuroinflammation has been considered, though the source of such inflammation has remained obscure.3

Normal mast cells (MCs) are multifunctional immune cells that play crucial roles in innate and adaptive immunity.4 MCs participate in host defense, tissue repair, wound healing, and angiogenesis. Mast cell activation syndrome (MCAS) is usually due to somatic mutations affecting MCs, but the menagerie of such mutations found in the MCAS population thus far, combined with the large number (> 200) of mediators produced by MCs and the large array of effects of each mediator, ensure extraordinary heterogeneity in clinical presentation.4 MCAS has general themes of inflammation ± allergic-type phenomena ± dystrophism (abnormalities in growth and development).5 This disorder is thought to be common, with published prevalence
estimates in the range of 1% to 17% of the population.4 Symptoms can start in childhood or adulthood, depending on when the MC regulatory gene mutation occurred, and the range of symptoms experienced by the individual patient typically expands as the patient ages.5 The most frequent symptoms in 50% or more of patients with MCAS include fatigue, muscle pain, near-syncope, headaches, pruritis, urticaria, nausea, chills, edema, eye irritation, dyspnea, and heartburn.4 Because all organ systems can be involved in MCAS, the scope of symptoms can be extensive. Patients may receive a diagnosis of conversion syndrome or other functional disorders because of the waxing and waning nature of extensive symptoms over the decades and failure by physicians to diagnose a cohesive disorder.

In general, MCs are involved in many neurologic disorders including multiple sclerosis, Parkinson disease, migraine, cognitive dysfunction, autism, Alzheimer disease, and neurofibromatosis.6–9 MC-induced neurologic pathologic states are due to the direct and indirect effects of the mediators aberrantly produced and released by dysfunctional MCs. This includes inflammatory demyelination, pain, angiogenesis, and a permeable blood-brain barrier.10,11

Neurologic symptoms in MCAS are common and wide ranging, and include dizziness, headaches, numbness, tremor, vertigo, cognitive dysfunction, anxiety, panic attacks, depression, and sleep disturbances.7 In addition, MCAS has been reported to be associated with autonomic dysfunction, peripheral neuropathy, intradural adhesions, parkinsonism, intracerebral coagulopathy, seizures, tinnitus, and migraines.9

Two referral centers for MCAS have observed that RLS is a common complaint by their patients (L. Afrin, MD and G. Molderings, MD, personal communication, 2018). The prevalence, nature, and risks for RLS in patients with MCAS are presented here for the first time.

METHODS

From February 2017 through April 2019, all consecutive adult patients presenting with refractory gastrointestinal symptoms to a gastroenterologist (LBW) whose research interests include MCAS and RLS were initially evaluated for the presence of MCAS. Starting August 2018, patients in whom MCAS had been diagnosed were invited to participate in a study of sleep disturbances in general (not just RLS). In this manner, individuals and control patients who had RLS would not be biased to participate in the study compared to those without RLS. Spouse control patients filled out a questionnaire that included the International RLS Study Group criteria.12 If these criteria were met, the control patients filled out the Cambridge-Hopkins RLS Short Form 2 diagnostic questionnaire.13 A history and physical examination of the patients ruled out RLS mimics such as muscle cramps, sciatica, sensory neuropathy, and arthritis. These patients filled out the same two questionnaires. In patients in whom RLS was diagnosed the self-administered version of the International RLS Study Group severity rating scale (sIRLS) was obtained.14 A ferritin level was obtained as part of standard of care for the patients and to determine if levels were low as an alternative factor for RLS.15 Informed consent to collect data from patients and spouse control patients was obtained. The study was approved by the Missouri Baptist Medical Center Institutional Review Board in St. Louis, Missouri.

MCAS diagnosis was based on recent diagnostic criteria, that is, typical symptoms of MC activation in two or more organ systems plus one or more of the following criteria: elevation of MC mediator(s), clinical improvement with MC-directed therapy, and intestinal MC density ≥ 20 per high-power field (HPF).9 MC mediator measurements included: (1) plasma prostaglandin D2, histamine, and heparin, (2) serum tryptase and chromogranin A, and (3) 24-hour urine prostaglandin 11β-PGF2α, N-methylhistamine, and leukotriene E4. To help confirm a clinical diagnosis of MC activation, the MC mediator release syndrome (MCMRS) score was employed (supplemental material).4,16 The MCMRS is a questionnaire that assesses the number and weight of symptoms, laboratory, radiographic, and biopsy findings. A sum of 9 to 13 = pathological activation of mast cells as cause of the complaints. A sum ≥ 14 = clinical confirmation of a diagnosis of MC mediator release syndrome but not MCAS per se.

MC density was assessed by a standardized systematic approach where MCs were counted in multiple HPFs using the CD117 immunohistochemical stain and Nikon BX41 Plan N 40×/0.65 objective magnification. MC density was expressed as a range including < 20, 20–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–60, 61–70, 71–80, 81–90, 91–100, and > 100 MC/HPF. The MC density was categorized as follows: (1) diffuse: MC density reported as least common denominator in any of several microscopic fields, and (2) focal: highest MC density reported in more than three mutually exclusive microscopic fields.

Additional data collection for the patients included: age, sex, height, weight, medications, complete review of systems, past medical history, and the presence of comorbid postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS) and hypermobile Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (hEDS) determined by past history and physical examination. Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) diagnosis used the Rome 4 criteria.17 All patients underwent a standard physical examination that included sensory examination of the legs, orthostatic pulse measurements, and joint flexibility evaluation using the Beighton criteria for hEDS.18

Exclusion criteria in patients included age younger than 18 years, Crohn disease, ulcerative colitis, and untreated celiac disease. Patients with migraines, headaches, fibromyalgia, chronic abdominal pain with fatigue, and IBS were not excluded because these are common problems of MCAS. Exclusion criteria in spouse control patients included age younger than 18 years, Crohn disease, ulcerative colitis, and untreated celiac disease. In efforts to exclude control patients for MCAS, exclusion criteria included a history of IBS, chronic abdominal pain or diarrhea, chronic nausea, chronic acid reflux requiring medications, and fibromyalgia. Pregnancy was an exclusion criterion for both groups. To account for the fact that control patients may have had conditions that excluded them from participation and they were thus not completely matched to patient exclusion criteria, two subanalyses were carried out: (1) patients with MCAS and RLS who did not have fibromyalgia, chronic abdominal pain with fatigue and IBS, headaches, and migraines were compared to the spouse control patients and the
historical controls. Thus in this subanalysis the exclusion criteria for the patients and the control patients were similar and furthermore the patients were excluded if they had headaches and migraines; (2) in patients with MCAS and without RLS, variable analysis determined the effect of migraines, headaches, fibromyalgia, chronic muscle pain with chronic fatigue, IBS, and proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use on the prevalence of RLS.

Because of sex imbalance among patients with MCAS and control patients, sex-specific comparisons were performed. RLS in patients with MCAS was compared to that in spousal controls of the same sex and to published estimates of RLS in the general populations of France and the United States, which employed the International RLS Study Group criteria.19,20

The primary aim was to determine whether prevalence of RLS is higher in patients with MCAS versus spouse control patients and versus the general population matched to sex. Secondary aims included determination whether MC mediators, MC density in biopsies, medical disorders and medical traits, and medications are present that increase the risk of RLS. The use of medications used to treat MCAS and also reported in the literature to potentially exacerbate RLS was analyzed (including antidepressants and histamine-1 receptor blockers). The medicine module in the patient’s electronic medical record was used to access prescription and over-the-counter medications (which is asked of all patients).

Statistical analysis included odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) calculations for comparing patients with MCAS to control patients and to the published prevalence in two general populations. The t tests and Fisher exact tests compared patients with RLS to patients without RLS. A value of $P = .05$ was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

A total of 174 patients with MCAS (146 female, 28 male, age $44.8 \pm 17.0$ years) were compared to 85 spouse control patients (12 female, 73 male, age $50.9 \pm 14.1$ years) and to two published estimates of RLS prevalence in the general population.19,20 Of 174 patients, 89 were unmarried and most were young women. One additional patient with RLS was excluded because she also had Crohn disease. Three other potential patients with MCAS chose not to participate in the study. In the total of 98 spouses, 86.7% chose to participate in the study.

All patients in whom MCAS was diagnosed fulfilled the major criteria with multiple symptoms of MC activity with chronic fatigue, muscle pain, tinnitus, rhinitis, and conjunctivitis being most common, along with chronic gastrointestinal symptoms (abdominal pain, constipation, diarrhea, bloating, nausea, heartburn, and dysphagia). Minor criteria included: mediator tests positive in 72.9% and abnormal biopsies in 98.5% of patients. MC-directed treatment was beneficial in 81.6%, unsuccessful in 8.6%, and it was too early to determine in 9.8% at the time of data capture closure. Clinical characteristics and potential risk factors for RLS in patients with MCAS and control patients are shown in Table 1.

Comparisons by sex showed that female patients with MCAS had more concomitant POTS, EDS, and both compared to male patients with MCAS ($P = .003$, $P = .006$, $P = .009$, respectively). Female patients were more likely to report migraines ($P = .04$) and gastrointestinal bloating ($P = .004$). The spouse control group contained a higher proportion of males than the MCAS group ($P < .001$). Patients with MCAS were also younger than the spouse control patients: age $44.8 \pm 17.0$ versus $50.9 \pm 14.1$ years ($t_{257} = 2.86$, $P = .005$). No other clinical variables showed differences by sex.

The prevalence of RLS was 40.8% (71 of 174) in patients with MCAS versus 12.9% (11 of 85) in spouse control patients, giving patients with MCAS an OR of 4.6, with 95% CI of 2.3–9.3 ($P < .0001$). RLS prevalence in the 174 patients with MCAS was also greater than in the American population (9.4%) (OR 6.62, 95% CI 4.71–9.31, $z = 10.86$, $P < .0001$) and the French population (8.5%) (OR 7.44, 95% CI 5.46–10.15, $z = 12.68$, $P < .0001$).

Because of the predominance of females among patients with MCAS, further comparisons were divided by sex (Table 2). Among 28 male patients with MCAS, 9 (32.1%) had RLS compared to 9 of 73 male spouse control patients (12.3%, OR 3.4, CI 1.2–9.7, $P = .025$). Male patients with MCAS also had higher prevalence than that estimated in the adult male general population in the United States (8.4%, OR 5.2, CI 2.2–12.0, $P < .001$) and France (5.8%, OR 7.7, CI 3.4–17.1, $P < .001$).19,20 Among the 146 female patients with MCAS, 62 (42.5%) had

### Table 1—Clinical characteristics of patients with MCAS and spouse control patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>MCAS (n = 174)</th>
<th>Spouse Control (n = 85)</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females, n</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$P &lt; .001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>$P &lt; .001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>44.8 (17.0)</td>
<td>50.9 (14.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLS prevalence, % (n)</td>
<td>40.8 (71/174)</td>
<td>12.9 (11/85)</td>
<td>OR 4.6, CI 2.3–9.3, $P &lt; .0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset RLS, mean age (SD)</td>
<td>31.4 (16.6)</td>
<td>42.0 (16.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration RLS, years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>10.0 (9.4)</td>
<td>9.1 (11.8)</td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP, % (n)</td>
<td>11.0 (18/163)</td>
<td>3.5 (3/85)</td>
<td>$P = .054$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval, CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure therapy, MCAS = mast cell activation syndrome, OR = odds ratio, RLS = restless legs syndrome, SD = standard deviation.
RLS, compared to 2 of 12 female spouse control patients (16.7%, OR 3.7, CI 0.78–17.4, P = .12). Female patients with MCAS had higher prevalence than that estimated in the adult female general population in the United States (10.0%, OR 6.7, CI 4.5–9.7, P < .0001) and France (10.8%, OR 6.1, CI 4.4–8.6, P < .0001).19,20

In the subanalysis of patients with MCAS who met the same inclusion and exclusion criteria as control patients, 11/30 (36.7%) of our patients with MCAS had RLS as opposed to 11/85 control patients (12.9%) (OR = 3.89, 95% CI 1.47–10.34, z = 2.73, P = .0063). This relationship also held true when these patients with MCAS were compared to the general United States population 170/1807 (9.4%) (OR 5.56, 95% CI 2.60–11.88, z = 4.43, P < .0001) or the French population 870/10,263 (8.5%) (OR 6.25, 95% CI 2.97–13.18, z = 4.82, P < .0001). Of our female patients with MCAS who had the same exclusion criteria as control patients, 10/22 (45.5%) had RLS as compared to 2/12 (16.7%) of our female spouse control patients but this did not reach statistical significance (OR 4.17, 95% CI 1.6–11.33, z = 1.6, P = .11). However, these female patients had a higher prevalence of RLS than that estimated in the adult female general population in the United States (7.5, 95% CI 3.17–17.76, z = 4.59, P < .0001) and France (6.90, 95% CI 2.97–16.03, z = 4.49, P < .0001).19,20

Statistical analysis of males with MCAS and who had these additional exclusion criteria was limited by the low numbers of men (1/8 had RLS).

The second subanalysis showed there was no relationship between patients positive and negative for MCAS with respect to the risk of RLS and the presence of migraines, headaches, fibromyalgia, and PPI use. Chronic muscle pain with fatigue was more prevalent in the patients positive for MCAS-RLS (P = .04).

As shown in Table 3, there were few differences between the patients with MCAS with and without RLS. These conditions were common to each group, especially headaches and IBS. Comorbid POTS trended toward being more common in patients with RLS (P = .07); however, hEDS and POTS plus hEDS did not. The body mass index was not associated with RLS in patients with MCAS. The use of continuous positive airway pressure therapy was not associated with rate of RLS among patients with MCAS. There was no statistical association of the presence of RLS in patients with MCAS with either the degree of MC density in gastrointestinal biopsies, the presence of positive testing and/or specific MC mediators, or MCMRS scores. Patients positive for MCAS-RLS versus patients negative for MCAS-RLS took diphenhydramine (19.7% versus 10.7%), nonselecting histamine-1 receptor blockers (80.3% versus 75.7%), PPI (25.4% versus 23.5%), tricyclic antidepressants (8.5% versus 6.8%), and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor antidepressants (23.9% versus 16.5%). None of these were statistically different. All of the patients positive for MCAS-RLS had RLS long before they were prescribed diphenhydramine and nonselecting histamine-1 receptor blockers.

Patients with MCAS and who had RLS were younger compared to patients with MCAS without RLS: 41.5 ± 15.7 versus 47.0 ± 17.5 (t172 = −2.1, P = .03). The age of onset of RLS was numerically lower in patients with MCAS compared to control patients with RLS: 31.4 ± 16.6 versus 42.0 ± 16.9 (t80 = 2.0, P = .053). The patients with MCAS had a mean sIRLS severity score of 19.8 ± 8.8, and the duration of RLS was 10.0 ± 9.4 years. In the patients with MCAS the mean ferritin level was 66.3 ± 57.8 ng/mL; range: 10–230 ng/mL (normal = 10–232 ng/mL). The percentage of patients with MCAS and who had RLS whose ferritin was ≤50 ng/mL was 45.7% (85.7% of these were women). The percentage of patients with MCAS and who had RLS whose ferritin was ≤10 ng/mL was 6.5% (all were women). Ferritin measurements for other patients and control patients were not included in the protocol.

**DISCUSSION**

This study is the first to investigate the prevalence of RLS in MCAS. RLS was found to be associated with MCAS. The
The overall prevalence of RLS in patients with MCAS was 40.8%, which was found to be statistically significantly greater than in our own control population and in comparison with two large epidemiologic studies from the literature. In women the prevalence was 42.5% and in men it was 32.1%. Each is significantly greater than the population studies with separate evaluations by sex.\textsuperscript{19,20} This degree of RLS prevalence is also higher than many of the secondary RLS conditions.\textsuperscript{3}

The findings of our study have clinical importance for several reasons. MCAS is emerging as the “great modern-day imitator.”\textsuperscript{4} It often presents with widespread systemic inflammatory and allergic manifestations and is frequently undiagnosed.\textsuperscript{4,5} Furthermore, RLS may be more effectively treated after identifying specific underlying disorders.\textsuperscript{21–23} In a 2011 study all reported causes of secondary RLS found that 42 of the 47 disorders (89%) were associated with immune or inflammatory conditions.\textsuperscript{3} At that time the extensive connection of MCAS with other syndromes had not yet been fully elucidated.\textsuperscript{4,5} Eight disorders underlying secondary RLS that are also caused by and/or associated with MCAS are shown in Table 4. The connections to inflammation and immune disorders are illustrated. Theories that potentially link RLS and MCAS include inflammatory and immune changes, MC activation, autonomic dysfunction, and hypoxia. Muscle pain and chronic fatigue are highly prevalent in MCAS. It is possible that some of these patients could have had undiagnosed fibromyalgia, which also is associated with an increased prevalence of RLS.\textsuperscript{3} Alternatively, “fibromyalgia” is often a diagnosis assigned to the yet undiagnosed patient with MCAS.

### Table 3—Clinical characteristics of patients with MCAS with and without RLS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>With RLS (n = 71)</th>
<th>Without RLS (n = 103)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females, % (n)</td>
<td>87.3 (62/71)</td>
<td>81.6 (84/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, % (n)</td>
<td>12.7 (9/71)</td>
<td>18.4 (19/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>41.5 (15.7)</td>
<td>47.0 (17.5)</td>
<td>.03 (t_{172} = -2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor sleep, % (n)</td>
<td>91.0 (61/67)</td>
<td>71.1 (69/97)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRLSSG severity score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>19.8 (8.8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin, mean (SD)</td>
<td>66.3 (57.8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With RLS (n = 71)</th>
<th>Without RLS (n = 103)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m(^2), mean (SD)</td>
<td>26.3 (7.1)</td>
<td>25.1 (5.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP, % (n)</td>
<td>6.0 (4/67)</td>
<td>14.6 (14/96)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraines, % (n)</td>
<td>32.4 (23/71)</td>
<td>31.4 (32/102)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headaches, % (n)</td>
<td>69.7 (46/66)</td>
<td>64.1 (66/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromyalgia, % (n)</td>
<td>23.2 (16/69)</td>
<td>19.8 (20/101)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle pain with fatigue, % (n)</td>
<td>63.6 (42/66)</td>
<td>47.1 (48/102)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS-constipation, % (n)</td>
<td>16.9 (12/71)</td>
<td>21.4 (22/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS-diarrhea, % (n)</td>
<td>19.7 (14/71)</td>
<td>23.3 (24/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS-mixed, % (n)</td>
<td>42.3 (30/71)</td>
<td>35.9 (37/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid POTS, % (n)</td>
<td>32.4 (23/71)</td>
<td>19.4 (20/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid hEDS, % (n)</td>
<td>26.8 (19/71)</td>
<td>20.4 (21/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both POTS/hEDS, % (n)</td>
<td>19.7 (14/71)</td>
<td>11.7 (12/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive mediators, % (n)</td>
<td>75.0 (39/52)</td>
<td>73.4 (58/79)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCMRS score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>22.6 (6.5)</td>
<td>20.8 (5.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal duodenal MC/hpf, mean (SD)</td>
<td>78.8 (14.1)</td>
<td>61.7 (16.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse duodenal MC/hpf, mean (SD)</td>
<td>35.6 (13.5)</td>
<td>35.5 (8.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal ileum MC/hpf, mean (SD)</td>
<td>64.1 (19.0)</td>
<td>81.5 (21.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse ileum MC/hpf, mean (SD)</td>
<td>46.3 (9.9)</td>
<td>44.1 (11.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton pump inhibitor, % (n)</td>
<td>25.4 (17/67)</td>
<td>23.5 (24/102)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenhydramine, % (n)</td>
<td>19.7 (14/71)</td>
<td>10.7 (11/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsedating histamine-1 blocker, % (n)</td>
<td>80.3 (57/71)</td>
<td>75.7 (78/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricyclic antidepressant, % (n)</td>
<td>8.5 (6/71)</td>
<td>6.8 (7/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRI, % (n)</td>
<td>23.9 (17/71)</td>
<td>16.5 (17/103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index, CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure therapy, hEDS = hypermobile Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, hpf = high power field, IBS = irritable bowel syndrome, IRLSSG = International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group, MC = mast cell, MCAS = mast cell activation syndrome, MCMRS = mast cell mediator release syndrome, NS = not significant, POTS = postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome, RLS = restless legs syndrome, SD = standard deviation, SSRI = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor.
The primary site of the RLS disorder is thought to be in the basal ganglia, substantia nigra, and thalamus and it is possible that local MCs may play a dysfunctional role in these areas.\textsuperscript{24–28} We theorize that central nervous system (CNS) histamine and/or other inflammatory MC mediators could cause RLS by inducing dysfunction of dopamine cells or receptors, decreasing transportation of iron into dopamine cells, and/or causing endorphin cell dysfunction, which is altered in RLS and is important in preventing malfunction of dopamine cells in the setting of iron deficiency.\textsuperscript{29,30} Furthermore, inflammatory markers have been seen in the cerebrospinal fluid and blood of patients with RLS.\textsuperscript{31} Increased levels of cytokines including tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin-6 are associated with activated MCs, yet interplay with MCs and T-cells may factor into this process as well.\textsuperscript{32,33} CNS iron deficiency is a major disorder in patients with RLS because, under this condition, dopamine cells do not function well.\textsuperscript{34} Blood-brain barrier alterations induced by angiogenic mediators produced by activated MCs are thought to result in vasoproliferative reactions and pathologic conditions.\textsuperscript{35} This theoretically could result in CNS iron deficiency via altered iron transportation into the CNS. Proinflammatory MC mediators could increase hepcidin production at the level of the liver and kidney,\textsuperscript{36} which theoretically could play a role in hypoxia and a higher risk of RLS.\textsuperscript{47}

Table 4—Disorders of secondary RLS that are also associated with mast cell activation syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorders</th>
<th>RLS</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Inflamm</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Immune</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>IL-6</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Other IL</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>TNF</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>80, 210</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>235,</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>85, 86</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential tremor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>272, 273</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The current study has some limitations. There were more female than male patients, yet this is not unexpected in light of a prior large MCAS study and risks associated with sex for allergy/immune diseases in general.\textsuperscript{5,48} Accordingly, there were more male spouse control patients than females. The mean age of the control patients was higher than the mean age of the patients because many of the young women in the study were unmarried. In some RLS prevalence studies there are significant differences by sex. In more than 10,000 French adults, the RLS prevalence in females was 10.8% compared with 5.8% in males.\textsuperscript{19} Yet in 1,800 Americans, RLS prevalence was 10% and did not significantly differ by sex.\textsuperscript{20} Despite the sex difference in our study, the young age at the onset and the duration of RLS are important to note. It is well known that the prevalence increases with aging and thus our findings are even more remarkable. The spouses were not tested for MCAS, yet they were excluded for common conditions seen in MCAS and pertinent well-known secondary RLS disorders. In order to account for differences in the patient and control groups, two subanalyses were studied. One difference was that more patients with MCAS and RLS had chronic fatigue with muscle pain than those without RLS. The nature of the muscle pain in MCAS was soreness and was not confused with muscle cramps or RLS at night as determined by clinical history and queries within the Cambridge-Hopkins Questionnaire.
In the other subanalysis where the inclusion and exclusion criteria were made identical for all patient and control groups, statistically significant differences were maintained for the combination of women and men. In addition, the results were similar in that the female patients with MCAS had statistically more RLS than both population control groups and numerically more than the spouse control patients. Had this circumscribed group been larger in number we would have expected a positive statistical difference between female patients and spouse control patients. Statistical analysis of males with MCAS and these group been larger in number we would have expected a positive more RLS than both population control groups and numerically similar in that the female patients with MCAS had statistically combination of women and men. In addition, the results were studies quoted19,20 the respondents did not know that the ques-

Another criticism might include the fact that these patients had a diagnosis of MCAS in the setting of the evaluation for refractory gastrointestinal symptoms, yet this scenario is extremely common for the patients in whom MCAS is undiagnosed.49 Although the severity of MCAS and specific MC mediators in our patients did not correlate with a risk for RLS, this is not unexpected. In general, the presentation of symptoms is highly variable in MCAS, which may be due to the specific mediators produced by an individual patient’s MC. The MC is capable of producing more than 200 mediators, but commercial laboratories are only able to measure a minority, and even fewer are relatively specific to the MC (eg, prostaglandin D2, leukotriene E4, heparin, and tryptase), so it could easily be the case that MC mediators responsible for directly or indirectly driving RLS are not among the very few mediators measured in the MCAS diagnostic work-up. More likely, however, is that local release of mediators in the CNS may well act locally and not be measurable in the blood. MC mediators have been shown to activate microglial cells in the CNS.

Another potential limitation is that comparing a symptomatic clinical population to a general population and asymptomatic spouse control groups may lead to bias to higher rates of reporting of symptoms in the clinical population; there may be things that differ between patients and people who do not seek medical treatment in terms of how likely they are to report/ perceive RLS symptoms and their severity. The response rate among spouses of patients with MCAS was high (86.7%) so the bias would be minimal in the comparison of patients with MCAS to control patients. In addition, in the general population studies quoted19,20 the respondents did not know that the questionnaire they were answering was specific to RLS so the bias in this case would also be minimal. A final criticism is that a family history of RLS was not elucidated.

CONCLUSIONS

This study shows that RLS appears to be associated with MCAS. Inflammation, immune mechanisms, autonomic dysfunction, and/or CNS hypoxia induced by MCAS may play patho-
physiologic roles in this form of RLS. The circadian release of mediators from MCs in the evening may also have a direct relationship to RLS.51 It is paramount to look for underlying treatable causes for RLS. MCAS is generally not considered in the differential diagnosis of a patient with neurologic symptoms and syndromes. It does not appear that antihistamine medications increased the risk of exacerbating RLS in this study. We have seen patients who noted symptomatic improvement in RLS with MC-directed therapy but the current study was not designed to determine drug efficacy. Symptomatic response of RLS to inhibitors of MC activation or MC mediator production could lead to new avenues in therapy.

ABBREVIATIONS

CI, confidence interval
CNS, central nervous system
HPF, high power field
hEDS, hypermobile Ehlers Danlos syndrome
IBS, irritable bowel syndrome
sIRLS, self-administered International RLS Study Group severity rating scale
MC, mast cell
MCAS, mast cell activation syndrome
MCMRFS, mast cell mediator release syndrome
OR, odds ratio
PPI, proton pump inhibitor
RLS, restless legs syndrome
SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor
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